



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,342	03/17/2004	C. Robert Koentzopoulos	LAM1P186/P1211	5586
58766	7590	06/29/2009		
Beyer Law Group LLP P.O. BOX 1687 Cupertino, CA 95015-1687			EXAMINER ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
			ART UNIT 1792	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 06/29/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/803,342

Applicant(s)

KOEMTZOPOULOS ET AL.

Examiner

Anita K. Alanko

Art Unit

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anita K. Alanko.(3) (Paul Stzellman).(2) Michael Lee.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 26 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chantre, Brown, Chen.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art rejection and how Chen has sequential etching of doped and undoped regions, whereas the claim cites simultaneous etching of doped and undoped regions. The etchant in Brown is similar to what is used in the instant invention's main etch.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Anita K Alanko/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792